# Radio Detection of Astroparticles at the South Pole

Alan Coleman for the IceCube Collaboration

> GCOS Workshop 2021.05.20





## **Radio Extensions in Gen2**

<u>Radio array</u> stations of <u>deep+shallow</u> antennas for cosmogenic neutrino searches and UHECRs <u>Surface stations</u> with <u>surface</u> antennas for dedicated cosmic ray science studies.



\*Not finalized layouts

# **Cosmic Ray Science by the Surface Array**

A surface array is already included as part of an ongoing\* addition to the existing IceTop footprint.

Stations, triggered by 8 scintillator panels, include three SKALAv2 antennas. Both mounted on stilts due to snow build-up concerns.

The main CR science goal is to improve measurement accuracy via the separate detection of airshower components muons (IT), low-E muons/EM (scints), EM/X<sub>max</sub> (radio), and <u>high-E muons (in-ice)</u>.

Surface radio targeting energies of the expected (extra-)galactic transition at 100 PeV - EeV

They will also be included in Gen2 with the baseline design. One station above each <u>optical</u> string.

\*with COVID-related delays last- and this-year









## **Neutrino Science for In-Ice Radio**

Radio array stations consist of 3 strings. Multiple horizontally and vertically polarized antennas and calibration pulsers

Fit into 20 cm bore holes at a depth of 100 - 200 m to be below the firn, the portion of the snow near the surface where the snow is less compact/ideal

Stations spread out by 1-2 km and are sensitive out to a few km, depending on the neutrino energy. Would require 200 stations to cover the ~500 km<sup>2</sup> for full design sensitivity

Uses a phased-array trigger to identify events, a design that will be tested at RNO-G

Three, single-pol shallow antennas (a few meters below surface) to help distinguish neutrino-induced cascades from CR-muons undergoing catastrophic losses. Can also perform CR science, exposure ~Telescope Array



One possible station design. The exact dimensions of the stations are still being optimized for V<sub>eff</sub> and reconstruction accuracy.



# **Cosmogenic Flux**

The large effective volume covered by the in-ice radio array targets the highest energy neutrinos

The baseline design of the experiment is sensitive to neutrinos with  $E_{y} \gtrsim 10$  PeV.

Will be the main Gen2 component for mapping out the cosmogenic (GZK)  $\nu$  flux in the energy range of 100 PeV - 10 EeV, a byproduct of the GCOS-targeted CRs interacting with cosmic microwave background.

Observation of, or lack thereof, would have implications on the CR-mass distribution, cosmic evolution, acceleration models, propagation, etc.





# **Multi-Messenger Detections**

For the highest energy neutrinos, the sources are likely to be beyond the GZK horizon for CRs and are not a likely candidate for multi-messenger studies with GCOS. (See M. Ahlers' <u>talk</u>)

The radio array is more suited for multi-messenger studies of transients such as tidal disruption events, binary neutron star mergers, blazar flaring events, etc. (see talks from Monday)

Based on results from ARIANNA, expected angular resolutions are  $\approx 2^{\circ} \times 10^{\circ}$  patches of the sky. Will depend on final antenna design and layout.

Reconstruction techniques and methods to improve them are being explored and will be directly tested by the Radio Neutrino Observatory in Greenland (RNO-G) radio array. Currently being deployed, high overlap in person-power.





# **Backgrounds to the Neutrino Science Goals**

#### For neutrino detection, CRs are an intrinsic background.

#### Optical:

High energy CR-induced (atmospheric) muons that enter the detector are indistinguishable from  $\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \mu$ outside the detector

#### Radio:

Prompt muons undergo catastrophic energy losses in the ice and produce showers which look *v*-induced radio pulses

Radio emission from CR air showers is refracted into the ice. Distinguishable due to the strong geomagnetic emission/polarization combined with upward-facing shallow antennas near the surface.



### **Muons: One Person's Background, Another Person's Foreground**

The radio array will be a discovery detector for the cosmogenic flux. Would benefit from a better

Additionally, a better understanding of the hadronic models at these energy scales would simultaneously help the Gen2 (and GCOS) CR science goals.

IceCube can directly measure the (TeV - PeV) muon flux. Relevant for the understanding of, in particular, Auger and GCOS measurements.

Classifying the CR and neutrino events would benefit the whole astroparticle field. Surface radios are a good candidate.





D. Soldin - MCEQ, H4a flux model (see his talk)

# The Use of Surface Radio to Separate Events



# **Surface Radio for Event Classification**

For the highest energy neutrinos seen by the <u>optical</u> detector, the Earth is opaque. Roughly half of all neutrinos will arrive with zenith angles 70 - 90°

Event tagging will reduce systematic uncertainties for determining the cosmogenic neutrino flux



Cherenkov ring 0.2 km - 1.5 km in size for zenith angles >70°. Projected on the ground, the footprints can be 1 - 20 km in length. The near-vertical (17°) magnetic field at the Pole is ideal for detecting CR from all arrival directions near the horizon.

A dedicated surface array with ~km spacing is appropriate for tagging air shower events amongst the cosmogenic flux





Expected rate of GZK neutrinos for IC Gen1 Most events arrive from just above the horizon

# What Needs to be Tagged

The cosmogenic flux expected to be observed at  $E_v \sim 100 \text{ PeV} \Rightarrow E_v \sim 10 \text{ PeV}$ 

Thus the tagging needs to be sensitive to cosmic rays which produce  $\geq 10$  PeV muons.

Primarily created by  $\geq$  30 PeV air showers.

Expect on the order of ~1 per year seen by the optical strings assuming a 2.19 spectrum continues above the current IceCube measurements. The prompt muon background is highly uncertain (flux/hadronic models).





The buried, shallow antennas of the in-ice radio stations have reduced background noise, but are less sensitive to such inclined showers.

CR-tagging test was performed using baseline surface array design.

As a preliminary study of the layout, simulated guard rings of stations surrounding the optical footprint. Trajectories go through optical detector. Surface stations, separated by 0.5 km along a ring.

Focused on the most inclined showers, 70° - 85° where the neutrino sensitivity is peaked



# **Using a Single Ring**

Only partial veto possible, regardless of the ring radius High angles are more easily seen at large radii due to larger footprint <u>Challenge: Low signal-to-noi</u>se at 100 PeV in this simple study  $\rightarrow$  can be overcome with more dedicated hard/software



#### 100 PeV P/Fe

#### 300 PeV P/Fe

IceCube Preliminary

5.0

7.5

Guard Ring Radius [km]

10.0 12.5 15.0 17.5 20.0

1.0

=3 Trig. A 80

0.6

0.4

0.2

0.0

0.0 2.5

Ant.

Fraction Of Events with

#### 1 EeV P/Fe



# **Using Additional Rings**

Folded with the flux of PeV muons, picture is unchanged: a partial veto could be built using 100 stations on the surface

Would certainly be able to tag events to study the prompt muon flux and the remove from the sample of cosmogenic neutrinos, reducing uncertainty.





# **Further Optimizations**

Some of this phase space will already be covered by the Gen2 in-ice stations, which include just-below-surface stations Currently exploring the science impact of moving them to the surface.

A more dedicated antenna design would help. Beamed towards the horizon rather than upwards.

For all events that need tagging, the trajectory of the potential CR can be determined from in-ice reconstructions radio and/or optical. Using beamforming, can better reduce the background and improve surface-detection thresholds ~sqrt(N).

If a full ring is created, should they be only surface or also include in-ice radio?





# **Conclusions**

The radio array is designed to observed the first cosmogenic neutrinos.

The detection of this flux will be important for understanding mass, propagation, and acceleration models for the CRs that GCOS will measure.

For multi-messenger studies, the radio array will provide ≈2° x 10° resolution at the highest energies

The prompt muon flux at the relevant energies is both uncertain and an intrinsic background to determining this flux. It is also intrinsically important for GCOS-scale hadronic interactions.

As an extension of the proposed Gen2 in-ice radio, exploring the possibility to include a design for CR tagging for the optical detectors using antennas above the surface. Optimizations ongoing.





# **Prompt Muon Flux**



IceCube, Astropart. Phys. 78 (2016) 1-27

# **Prompt Flux from CR Flux**



#### Inclusive Muon Flux for a Gen2-Scale Optical Detector

D. Soldin - MCEQ, Sibyll2.3c (see his talk)

# **Angular Resolution of In-Ice**

Based on timing alone, the in-ice radio reconstruction results in a ring on the sky

The width of the ring is given by the width of the Cherenkov cone which can be determined to  $\sim 2^{\circ}$  using the spectral slope. The position on the ring is limited using measurements of the polarization of the radio waves. Shown to be determined to about 10°.

Thus the resolution on the sky is a  $2^{\circ}$  x  $10^{\circ}$  annulus segment on the sky.





# **Arrival Directions of High E Muons**





Simulated distribution of cosmogenic neutrinos in Gen1 IC Collab. PRD (2018)



Expected neutrino rate from charmed hadrons, Gen1 IC Collab, arXiv:2011.03545



Expected neutrino rate from pions+kaons, Gen1 IC Collab, arXiv:2011.03545

# **Possible Core Locations**

The spread of the locations of cores for 70 - 85<sup>0</sup> zenith angle showers that go through the optical volume



# The Plan for the Future of Gen2

The deployment plan is still not completely set, but is approximately...

				IceCube-Upgrade					
IceCube-Gen2		Design			Construction		Deployme	nt	
2018	20	, 20	2022	20	24	2026	2028	2030	2032