GCOS - The Global Cosmic Ray Observatory Brainstorming workshop May 2021

Welcome!

Jörg R. Hörandel Radboud Universiteit Nijmegen - Vrije Universiteit Brussel -<http://particle.astro.ru.nl>

Rafael Alves Batista, Antonella Castellina, Ralph Engel, Toshihiro Fujii, Jörg R. Hörandel, Charles Jui, Lu Lu, Ioana Maris, Shoichi Ogio, Takashi Sako, Fred Sarazin

GCOS homepage:<http://particle.astro.ru.nl/gcos>

HELMHOLTZ-ROADMAP FÜR FORSCHUNGSINFRASTRUKTUREN II 2015

THE GLOBAL COSMIC RAY OBSERVATORY (GCOS)

Das Pierre Auger-Observatorium in Argentinien hat - unter Federführung von Helmholtz-Gruppen - die Erforschung der energiereichsten kosmischen Strahlung einen Riesenschritt voran gebracht und zahlreiche Überraschungen geliefert. Das Global Cosmic Ray Observatory GCOS soll mithilfe eines Technologiesprungs die Fragen nach den Quellen, Mechanismen und Transportwegen der kosmischen Strahlung innerhalb und außerhalb der Milchstraße beantworten. Mit einer instrumentierten Fläche von 90.000 Quadratkilometern in mehreren Ländern der Nord- und Südhemisphäre wird die Beobachtung des gesamten Himmels möglich. Jede Teilfläche steht den Klima- und Geowissenschaften als erweiterbares Sensornetzwerk zur Verfügung.

Wissenschaftlicher Hintergrund

Kosmische Strahlung besteht aus ionisierten Atomkernen mit Energien bis über 1020 eV - hundertmillionen Mal höher als in Teilchenbeschleunigern erreichbar. Sie werden in den extremsten physikalischen Beschleunigungsprozessen des Universums produziert. Ziel der Messungen ist es, die Energien, Herkunftsrichtungen und Teilchenarten mit möglichst großer Statistik zu bestimmen und den kosmischen Quellen zuzuordnen. GCOS öffnet ein Fenster zu Neuer Physik, z.B. für die Suche nach extra-Dimensionen, nach Verletzung der Lorentz-Invarianz und zum Verständnis von hadronischen Wechselwirkungen.

Nutzenperspektive

Das Global Cosmic Ray Observatory GCOS wird als weltweites Netz von interdisziplinär genutzten Infrastrukturen konzipiert. Für Planung, Bau und Betrieb wird eine internationale Interessengemeinschaft gebildet, die Grundlagenforschung, Technologieentwicklung und gemeinsame Arbeiten in den Bereichen Klima- und Geoforschung, Stadt- und Landplanung sowie Energie vereint. GCOS wird mehrere Hundert internationale Promotionen in der Projektlaufzeit von 30 Jahren liefern. Die erwarteten Erkenntnisse lassen sich auf absehbare Zeit mit keiner anderen Methode erreichen.

Daten und Zahlen

Zeitplan:

- · Bau: 2022 bis 2030
- · Betrieb: 30 Jahre

Geschätzte Kosten: (gesamtes Projekt; Helmholtz-Anteil

ca. 10 bis 15 Prozent)

- Vorbereitungs-/Planungskosten: 8 Mio. Euro bis 2022; Helmholtz-Anteil 10 bis 15 Prozent
- · Investitionskosten: 390 Mio. Euro insgesamt, davon 40 bis 45 Mio. Euro Helmholtz-Anteil
- · Betriebskosten: 15 Mio. Euro pro Jahr; Helmholtz-Anteil <1,5 Mio. Euro pro Jahr

Internationale Dimension:

Die Helmholtz-Forschungsbereiche Materie, Schlüsseltechnologien und Erde und Umwelt tragen entscheidend zu GCOS bei, das ca. 1000 Wissenschaftler und Ingenieure aus 25 Ländern umfassen wird.

Rolle des Zentrums/der Zentren:

Das KIT und seine Partner liefern einen umfassenden Systemansatz für innovative Technologien, Energieversorgung, Datenkommunikation, Klimaforschung, Geowissenschaften und Raumplanung/Umwelt.

Ultra High Energy Cosmic Rays 2018

8-12 octobre 2018 Ecole Supérieure de Chimie, Paris

Snowmass2021 - Letter of Interest

A next-generation cosmic-ray detector to study the physics and properties of the highest-energy particles in Nature

Thematic Areas: (check all that apply \Box/\blacksquare)

- \blacksquare (CF1) Dark Matter: Particle Like
- (CF3) Dark Matter: Cosmic Probes
-
- (CF7) Cosmic Probes of Fundamental Physics
- \blacksquare (NF04) Neutrinos from natural sources
- \blacksquare (NF10) Neutrino detectors
- (EF06) QCD and strong interactions: Hadronic structure and forward QCD
- \blacksquare (IF10) Radio Detection

Contact Information:

Jörg R. Hörandel (Radboud University Nijmegen, Vrije Universiteit Brussel) [jorg.horandel@ru.nl]

Authors: Jörg R. Hörandel, Rasha Abassi, Tareq Abu-Zayyad, Jaime Alvarez-Muñiz, Rafael Alves Batista, Luis Anchordoqui, Pedro Assis, Jose Bellido Caceres, Doug Bergman, Mario Bertaina, Peter L. Biermann, Martina Boháčová, Carla Bonifazi, Thomas Bretz, Antonella Castellina, Lorenzo Cazon, Ruben Conceição, Bruce Dawson, Sijbrand de Jong, Armando di Matteo, Juan Carlos D'Olivo, Ralph Engel, Francesco Fenu, Toshihiro Fujii, Cristina Galea, Ugo Giaccari, Tim Huege, Daisuke Ikeda, Charles Jui, Karl-Heinz Kampert, Bianca Keilhauer, Eiji Kido, Dusan Mandat, Ioana Maris, Analisa Mariazzi, John N Matthews, Gustavo Medina Tanco, Lukas Nellen, Marcus Niechciol, David Nitz, Shoichi Ogio, Miroslav Pech, Tanguy Pierog, Markus Roth, Julian Rautenberg, Markus Risse, Francesco Salamida, Eva Santos, Fred Sarazin, Petr Schovanek, Frank G. Schroeder, Hiroyuki Sagawa, Marco Stein Muzio, Tiina Suomijarvi, Sako Takashi, Yuichiro Tameda, Takayuki Tomida, Petr Travnicek, Yoshiki Tsunesada, Michael Unger, Shigeharu Udo, Martin Vacula, Jakub Vicha, Serguei Vorobiov, Alan Watson, Lawrence Wiencke, Henryk Wilczyński, Brian Wundheiler, Alexey Yushkov on behalf of the Pierre Auger and Telescope Array collaborations.

Abstract: Nature is providing particles with energies exceeding 10^{20} eV. Their existence imposes immediate questions: Are they ordinary particles, accelerated in extreme astrophysical environments, or are they annihilation or decay products of super-heavy dark matter or other exotic objects? The particles can be used to study physics processes at extreme energies: Is Lorentz invariance still valid? Are the particles interacting according to the Standard Model or are there new physics processes? The particles can be used to study hadronic interactions (QCD) in the kinematic forward direction: What is the cross section of protons at \sqrt{s} > 10⁵ GeV? If the particles are accelerated in extreme astrophysical environments: Are their sources related to those of high-energy neutrinos, gamma rays, and/or gravitational waves, such as the recently

observed mergers of compact objects?

To address these questions, a next-generation observatory will be needed after 2030 to study the physics and properties of the highest-energy particles in Nature. It should have an aperture at least an order of magnitude bigger than the existing observatories. We aim for a detector system with an area of $40\,000 \text{ km}^2$ or more and all-sky coverage.

■ (CF6) Dark Energy and Cosmic Acceleration: Complementarity of Probes and New Facilities

GCOS - The Global Cosmic Ray Observatory Brainstorming workshop May 2021

Scope of the workshop:

To discuss UHE multi-messenger astroparticle physics after 2030

Figure 10.4 Energy loss length for iron nuclei calculated with CRPropa [316] using the model of Gilmore et al. [317] for the extragalactic background light (EBL). Shown are the contributions due to different interaction processes with CMB and EBL photons. From [185].

- **- What physics do we want to do with UHE particles* after 2030?**
	- *** protons, nuclei, neutrinos, gamma rays**
- **- What requirements follow from the physics case for an observatory?**
- **- How and where would we want to build such an observatory?**

Physics and origin of the highest-energy particles in Nature

Nature is providing particles with energies exceeding 1020 eV. Their existence imposes immediate questions:

- **- Are they ordinary particles, accelerated in extreme astrophysical environments,**
- **- or are they annihilation or decay products of super-heavy dark matter or other exotic objects?**

The particles can be used to study physics processes at extreme energies:

- **- Is Lorentz invariance still valid?**
- **- Are the particles interacting according to the Standard Model or are there new physics processes?**

The particles can be used to study hadronic interactions (QCD) in the kinematic forward direction:

- What is the cross section of protons at √s > 105 GeV?

If the particles are accelerated in extreme astrophysical environments:

- Are their sources related to those of high-energy neutrinos, gamma rays, and/or gravitational waves, such as the recently observed mergers of compact objects?

-
-

The γ -ray spectrum of the core of Centaurus A as observed with **H.E.S.S. and** *Fermi***-LAT**

A&A 619, A71 (2018) https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201832640 c ESO 2018

S.S. and Fermi-LAT \sim 2000 Magnetic \sim Abstract Assume Assume through Comparison to the Flux Pattern of Extragalactic Gamma-Ray Sources^{*} An Indication of Anisotropy in Arrival Directions of Ultra-high-energy Cosmic Rays

Observed Execse Man E S 60 Es , \mathbf{C} ODSErved Excess Map - E > 60 Eev

ABSTRACT

Centaurus A (Cen A) is the nearest radio galaxy discovered as a very-high-energy (VHE; $100 \text{ GeV}-100 \text{ TeV}$) γ -ray source by the High Energy Stereoscopic System (H.E.S.S.). It is a faint VHE γ -ray emitter, though its VHE flux exceeds both the extrapolation from early *Fermi*-LAT observations as well as expectations from a (misaligned) single-zone synchrotron-self Compton (SSC) description. The latter satisfactorily reproduces the emission from Cen A at lower energies up to a few GeV. New observations with H.E.S.S., comparable in exposure time to those previously
reported were performed and eight years of Fermi LAT data were accumulated to eleri reported, were performed and eight years of *rema-LAT* data were accumulated to clarify the spectral characteristics of the γ -ray emission from
the core of Cen A. The results allow us for the first time to achieve the of Cen A over almost five orders of magnitude in energy. Advanced analysis methods, including the template fitting method, allow detection in the VHE range of the core with a statistical significance of 12σ on the basis of 213 hours of total exposure time. The spectrum in the energy range of 250 GeV–6 TeV is compatible with a power-law function with a photon index $\Gamma = 2.52 \pm 0.13_{stat} \pm 0.20_{sys}$. An updated *Fermi*-LAT analysis provides evidence for spectral hardening by $\Delta\Gamma \approx 0.4 \pm 0.1$ at γ -ray energies above 2.8^{+1.0} GeV at a level of 4.0 σ . The fact that the spectrum hardens at GeV energies and extends into the VHE regime disfavour a single-zone SSC interpretation for the overall spectral energy distribution (SED) of the core and is suggestive of a new γ -ray emitting component connecting the high-energy emission above the break energy to the one observed at VHE energies. The absence of significant variability at both GeV and TeV energies does not yet allow disentanglement of the physical nature of this component, though a jet-related origin is possible and a simple two-zone SED model fit is provided to this end. reported, were performed and eight years of *Fermi*-LAT data were accumulated to clarify the spectral characteristics of the γ -ray emission from

Key words. gamma rays: galaxies – radiation mechanisms: non-thermal

Fig. 3. SED of Cen A core with model fits as described in text. The red curve corresponds to an SSC component designed to fit the radio to sub-GeV data. The blue curve corresponds to a second SSC component added to account for the highest energy data. The black curve corresponds to the sum of the two components. SED points as derived \blacksquare from H.E.S.S. and *Fermi*-LAT data in this paper are shown with open circles. Observations from the radio band to the MeV γ -ray band are from TANAMI (\diamond) , SEST (\triangle) , JCMT (\triangleright) , MIDI (\triangledown) , NAOS/CONICA (\triangle) , NICMOS (\square) , WFPC2 (\triangle) , *Suzaku* (\triangle) , OSSE/COMPTEL (\blacksquare) . The acronyms are described in Appendix B. α such synchrotron ext. The $\sum_{i=1}^{n}$ \mathcal{D} . \mathcal{D} points as betty of per are shown with open $DI(V)$, NAUS/CUNICA Compton emission, hadronic interactions, or multiple zones) are

 $\overline{32}$, a. M. Branch $\overline{36}$, $\overline{36}$, A. Bueno39, S. Buitink1 , M. Busc. A. Busc. A. Busc. A. S. Caballero-Mora \mathcal{A} -14 , F. Catalog , C. Catalog , C. Casari \mathcal{F} , A. G. Charles $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{O}}$, J. Chinellato, J. Chinellato, J. W. Clay24, R. W. W. Clay24, R $\frac{1}{2}$, M. R. Coluccia30,31, R. Conceição2 \sim $F. 12$, M. J. Cooperation in the coutu $1/2$ $K = 10$, J. R. T. de Mitri30, I. De Mitri $\overline{56}$ $\overline{510}$ $\overline{7}$ $\$ $\sqrt{2}$ \overline{S} $\overline{$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ λ Eq. Eq. etc. , H. Falcket $\frac{1}{2}$ J. Factor $\frac{1}{2}$ Factor $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$ Factor $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$ \mathbb{Z} -6 I^{ω} $+ -720 - - - 60 - - - - 760$ $- - - + - \frac{1300}{7} - - - - - \frac{240}{7} - - - - \frac{180}{7}$ Δ e. Lascu Δ 8, P. L. Ghia28, U. Giaccari $32, \ldots$ 74, C. Glaser36, G. Golup6 \overline{G} -4 , P. F. Gómez Vitalenz, N. Gómez Vitalenz, N. González, N. González, N. González, N. González, N. González, A. Gorgiz, N. Gorgia, N. González, N. González, N. Gorgia, N. González, N. González, N. González, N. González, N. , A. F. Grillon, T. D. Gri $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}$ $P = -\frac{1}{1} - - - - - - \frac{1}{1}$, T. A. Harrison24, A. Haungs34, T. Hebbeker36, D. Heck34, P. Heimann38, A. E. Herve37, G. C. Hill24, C. Hojvat63, E. Holt7,34, P. Homola33, J. R. Hörandel1,57, P. Horvath76, M. Hrabovský76, T^* Music 34, $\frac{1}{2}$ O. Kambeitz37, K. H. Kampert23, B. Keilhauer34, N. Kemmerich5 $M_{\text{evts}} = 13$ B. Lagos 78, D. Lagos 78, R. Langs 78, R. Lauscher 36, R. Leigunina, M. Leigui de Oliveira, A. Letessier-Selvon I. Lhenry-Yvon28, K. Link37, D. Lo Presti41, L. Lopes2 , R. López Casadon \mathbb{R}^n . Lorentz Casadon \mathbb{R}^n 81, G. Marsella30,31, D. Martello30,31, H. Martinez82, O. Martínez Bravo80, J. J. Masías Meza54, H. J. Mathes34, S. Mathys23, J. Matthews83, G. Matthiae84,85, , A. M. Menshikov $72, 75$, Michael $77, 75$, $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$ L. Nožka76, L. A. Núñez16, F. Oikonomou48, A. Olinto52, M. Palatka29, J. Pallotta88, P. Papenbreer23, G. Parente10, A. Parra80, T . Populations
 T . Composition scenarios $\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{25} & \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{25} & \mathbf{27} \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array} \end{array}$ \rightarrow Swift-BAT - - - No attenuation $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{20}$ $\frac{1}{20}$ $\frac{1}{20}$ $\frac{1}{20}$ $\frac{1}{20}$ $\frac{1}{20}$ $\frac{1}{20}$ $\frac{1}{20}$ $\frac{1}{20}$ $\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{A} \\ \mathcal{B} \end{array} \end{array}$, $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ 10⁻⁴ P. Risse $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$ \ddot{P} 15, $\bigwedge_{A} P \bigvee_{A} \bigvee_{A} A$, J. Rodriguez Ro $\overline{15}$ $\overline{15}$ \mathbb{Z} $\mathbb{E}\left[\left\langle \mathbf{S}^{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbf{A}}\right\rangle \mathbf{S}^{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbf{A}}\right] =\left\langle \mathbf{S}^{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbf{A}}\right\rangle \mathbf{S}^{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbf{A}}\right] =\left\langle \mathbf{S}^{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbf{A}}\right\rangle \mathbf{S}^{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbf{A}}\mathbf{S}^{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbf{A}}\mathbf{S}^{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbf{A}}\mathbf{S}^{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbf{A}}\$ \oint_{μ} P. Sanchez-Lucas \oint_{μ} B. Sanchez-Lucas e.
E. Santos
Cantos 10 $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$, \mathbf{W} \mathbf{V} $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{2}{3}$, $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{3}{2}$ F $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{3}{2}$, A. Segreto40,93, A. Shadkam83, R. C. Shellard94, G. Sigl62, G. Silli7,34, R. Šmída34, G. R. Snow95, P. Sommers48, S. Sonntag38, $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$ Francische Stassielaka-Streich in der Streich in der
Der Streich in der $\overline{}$ $\begin{array}{c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c} \hline \multicolumn{2}{c|}{\textbf{1}} & \multicolumn{2}{c|}{\textbf{0}} & \multicolumn{2}{c|}{\textbf{1}} & \multicolumn{2}{c|$ $\begin{array}{c} \texttt{30}\ \texttt{Thresh} \end{array}$, V. M. Theodoro46, C. Timmermans1,57, C. J. Todero Peixoto44, L. Tomankova34, B. Tomé2

THE ASTROPHYSICAL JOURNAL LETTERS, 853:L29 (10pp), 2018 February 1 https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/aaa66d © 2018. The American Astronomical Society. All rights reserved.

G. The C. C. C. The C. The C. The C. The C. Travel of C. T. Travel of C. T. A. T. and OMDS. Courses (wish), including attenuation (lighter deshed gure 1. 18 scan over the threshold energy for SBGs and AGNs (left) and *Swift*-BA1 and 2MRS sources (right), including attenuation (lighter dashed
trker solid lines). $\frac{R}{2}$. $\frac{R}{2}$ $34.$ C. C. Vergara $34.$ J. V. Villaseñor4, J. Villaseñor4, J. Villaseñor4, J. Villaseñor4, S. Villaseñor4, Figure 1. TS scan over the threshold energy for SBGs and AGNs (left) and Swift-BAT and 2MRS sources (right), including attenuation (lighter dashed lines) or not (darker solid lines).

Jörg R. Hörandel - GCOS workshop - May 2021 7 , Jörg R. Hörandel - GCOS workshop - May 202 \sim source, the integral being set by its flux attenuated above by its flux $A_{\rm eff}/A_{\rm eff}$ respectively. The impact is more proposition for proposition \sim

Multi-messenger studies of (potential) sources

Multi-messenger studies of (potential) sources Influence of B-fields on charged particles

T. Gaisser, R. Engel, E. Resconi

$$
\overline{\Delta\theta^2}
$$
 we can write

$$
\left(\frac{Z B}{10^{-9} \text{ G}}\right) \left(\frac{10^{20} \text{ eV}}{E}\right) \left(\frac{d}{100 \text{ Mpc}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{l_{\text{coh}}}{1 \text{ Mpc}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

$$
\int_{\frac{\text{yr}}{10^{-9} \text{ G}}}^{2} \left(\frac{Z B}{10^{-9} \text{ G}} \right)^2 \left(\frac{10^{20} \text{ eV}}{E} \right)^2 \left(\frac{d}{100 \text{ Mpc}} \right)^2 \left(\frac{l_{\text{coh}}}{1 \text{ Mpc}} \right).
$$

deflection

 $\theta_{\rm RMS} \approx 3.5^{\circ}$

time delay

 $\langle t_{\text{delay}} \rangle \approx \frac{l_{\text{coh}}}{9c} \left(\frac{d}{R_L}\right)^2$ $\approx 3.1 \times 10^5$ y

◥

dashed line marks the detection time of the neutrino IceCube-170922A. The left set of panels shows measurements between MJD 54700
(22 August 2008) and MJD 58002 (6 September 2017). The set (EE August Ecco) and mobicocol (crospication Ecro). The set al., panels on the right shows an expanded scale for time range MJD 58002 to MJD 58050 (24 October 2017). The Fermi-LAT light curve is binned in z8-day bins on the left panel, while liner 7-day bins
are used on the expanded panel. A VERITAS limit from MJD 58019.40 (22 August 2008) and MJD 58002 (6 September 2017). The set of curve is binned in 28-day bins on the left panel, while finer 7-day bins (23 September 2017) of 2.1×10^{-10} cm⁻² s⁻¹ is off the scale of the plot and not shown.

Jörg R. Hörandel - GCOS workshop - May 2021 9

Multi-messenger studies of sources cubic-kilometer IceCube Neutrino and IceCube Neutrino and IceCube Neutrino and Ice Observatory detected a \blacksquare neutrino from a direction consistent consisten urces die twee die t
Sien of TXS o constraints for the muon-neutrino constraints for the muon-neutrino constraints for the muon-neutrino constraints of sible counterparts to IceCube-170922A was part of the Fermi-LAT collaboration's routine multiwavelength, multiplessenger program. Den kan en de statistike beste beste beste beste beste beste beste beste bes Inside the error region of the neutrino event, the energy range above 0.1 GeV. An additional light curve with 7-day bins was calculated for the period around the time of the neutrino alert. The \bullet TXS 0506 Very-high-energy g-ray observations of of even rapidly family fading sources. RESULTS: A high-energy neutrino-induced

RESEARCH ARTICLE SUMMARY

NEUTRINO ASTROPHYSICS

Multimessenger observations of a flaring blazar coincident with high-energy neutrino IceCube-170922A \mathbf{t} the results of and the multimessenger observat Right anower noutring Leaf omgil chergy head how recent M_{rel} INTUILIIIILSSUIISU U INTRODUCTION: Neutrinos are tracers of cosmic-ray acceleration: electrically neutral mic rays. The discovery of the discovery of the discovery of an extra set α diffuse flux of high-energy neutrinos, announced \mathbf{f} and \mathbf{f} lengths. On 28 September **Telescope Coincident with** rection of the neutrino was coincident with a second w
The coincident with a second with a secon cataloged g-ray source, 0.1° from the neutrino direction. The source, a $- - 12$ vainoi r observations of a $h_{\text{max}} = \text{Im} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)$ The IceCube Collaboration, Fermi-LAT, MAGIC, AGILE, ASAS-SN, HAWC, H.E.S.S.,

a IcaCube Collaboratio The IceCube Collaboration *et al., Science* 361, 146 (2018) 13 July 2018 Jörg R. Hörandel - GCOS workshop - May 202 $11.746 (9019)$ 12 Li $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \end{bmatrix}$ author affiliations is available in the full state in the fu The IceCube Collaboration *et al. Science*: \mathcal{L}_{max} The IceCube Collaboration et al., Science 361, 146 (2018) 13 July 2018 1 of 1

 \mathbf{Q} ω

neutrino is concluent with the blazar i.a.s 0500+050, whose
optical position is shown by the pink square. The yellow circle **by all observations by MAGIC,**
shows the 95% positional uncertainty of very-high-energy y-rays **b Multimessenger observations of blazar TXS 0506+056.** The
50% and 90% containment regions for the poutring legCube-170922A (dashed red and solid gray contours, respectively), overlain on a V-band optical image of the sky. Gamma-ray sources are this region previously detected with the remn spacecraft are
shown as blue circles, with sizes representing their 95% positional uncertainty and labeled with the source names. The IceCube shows the 95% positional uncertainty of very-high-energy γ -rays detected by the MAGIC telescopes during the follow-up campaign.
The inset shows a magnified view of the region around TXS OFO6 LO are increasing and a size in the cosmic cosmic neural in an analysis of the sky. **HOASAS-SN FOR THE V-BAND OPTICAL**
IMAGES: PHOASAS-SN FOR THE R-BAND IN MAGNIFIED VIEW OPTICAL
IMAGES: ASS-SN FOR THE R-BAND IN MAGNIFIED VIEW OPTICAL 50% and 90% containment regions for the neutrino IceCubein this region previously detected with the Fermi spacecraft are neutrino is coincident with the blazar TXS 0506+056, whose The inset shows a magnified view of the region around TXS 0506+056

averaged over all Fermi-LAT observations span-

nessenger observations of blazar TXS 0506+056. The **Fig. 3. Time-dependent multiwavelength observations of TXS**
nd 90% containment regions for the neutrino IceCube-0506+056 before and after IceCube-170922A. Significant variability of ex (dashed red and solid gray contours, respectively),
n on a V-band optical image of the sky. Gamma-ray sources the electromagnetic emission can be observed in all displayed energy the electromagnetic emission can be observed in an displayed energy bands, with the source being in a high-emission state around the as blue circles, with sizes representing their 95% positional
ainty and labeled with the source names. The IceCube time of the neutrino alert. From top to bottom: (A) VHE γ -ray S^{S} observations by Fermi-LAT and AGILE; (C and D) x-ray observations by S_{56} Swift XRT; (E) optical light curves from ASAS-SN, Kiso/KWFC, and $\frac{1}{300}$ Kanata/HONIR; and (F) radio observations by OVRO and VLA. The red to at least several PeV. The observed IMAGES: PHOASAS-SN FOR THE R-BAND OPTICAL; REBAND OPTICAL; REBAND OPTICAL; REBAND IN MAGNIFICATION CONTINUES I observations by MAGIC, H.E.S.S., and VERITAS; (**B**) high-energy γ -ray $s = s \cdot s \cdot s \cdot s$ **coscie rays, consider the cose of the cose responsibl** article online. the above rations by in †Email: analysis@icecube.wisc.edu is Kana IMAGES: PHOASAS-SN FOR THE R-BAND OPTICAL; R-BAND IN MAGNIFIED V-BAND IN MAGNIFIED VIEW PHOASAS-BAND IN MAGNIFIED VIEW PHOASAS-BAND IN MAGNIFIED V-BAND IN MAGNIFIED V-BAND IN MAGNIFIED V-BAND IN MAGNIFIED V-BAND IN MAGNIF

automatically generating an alert that was

The IceCube Collaboration, *Fermi*-LAT, MAGIC, *AGILE*, ASAS-SN, HAWC, H.E.S.S.,
*INTECRAL Kanata Kiso Kanteun Liver*nool Telescone, Subaru, *Szoift/NuSTAR* INTEGRAL, Kanata, Kiso, Kapteyn, Liverpool Telescope, Subaru, *Swift/NuSTAR*, VERITAS, and VLA/17B-403 teams*[†] can escape the densest environments and may be the traced back to the trace of origin. Highenergy neutrinos are expected to be produced to be
Experimental to be produced to be p
 VAT VERCIC ACTER ACT INTRODUCTION: Neutrinos are tracers of cosmic-ray acceleration: electrically neutral \mathbf{r} \mathbf{v} , the discovery of an extraterrestrial \mathbf{v}

on December 11, 2019 http://science.sciencemag.org/ Downloaded from

2016 was great, OBS_{RVATI}RV **and 2017 even better! Follow-up of GW170817 with neutrinos OBSERVATORY Eollow-up**

Auger in predefned ±500 s window as

https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/aa9aed
 and 2018 Extracts
 and 2018 Extracts
 and 2018 Extracts Search for High-energy Neutrinos from Binary Neutron Star Merger GW170817 with ANTARES, IceCube, and the Pierre Auger Observatory

ANTARES Collaboration, IceCube Collaboration, The Pierre Auger Collaboration, and LIGO Scientific Collaboration and Virgo Collaboration

COLLOQUIA: SciNeGHE 2016 cosmic messengers is a unique opportunity that enables the

detected a short GRB, GRB 170817A, from a consistent location

1. Introduction DOI 10.1393/ncc/i2017-17144-0

© 2017. The American Astronomical Society.

OPEN ACCESS

The Astrophysical Journal Letters, 848:L12 (59pp), 2017 October 20 https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/aa91c9 © 2017. The American Astronomical Society. All rights reserved. **OPEN ACCESS**

Multi-messenger Observations of a Binary Neutron Star Merger*

LIGO Scientific Collaboration and Virgo Collaboration, Fermi GBM, INTEGRAL, IceCube Collaboration, AstroSat Cadmium Zinc Telluride Imager Team, IPN Collaboration, The Insight-HXMT Collaboration, ANTARES Collaboration, The Swift Collaboration, AGILE Team, The 1M2H Team, The Dark Energy Camera GW-EM Collaboration and the DES Collaboration, The DLT40 Collaboration, GRAWITA: GRAvitational Wave Inaf TeAm, The Fermi Large Area Telescope Collaboration, ATCA: Australia Telescope Compact Array, ASKAP: Australian SKA Pathfinder, Las Cumbres Observatory Group, OzGrav, DWF (Deeper, Wider, Faster Program), AST3, and CAASTRO Collaborations, The VINROUGE Collaboration, MASTER Collaboration, J-GEM, GROWTH, JAGWAR, Caltech-NRAO, TTU-NRAO, and NuSTAR Collaborations, Pan-STARRS, The MAXI Team, TZAC Consortium, KU Collaboration, Nordic Optical Telescope, ePESSTO, GROND, Texas Tech University, SALT Group, TOROS: Transient Robotic Observatory of the South Collaboration, The BOOTES Collaboration, MWA: Murchison Widefield Array, The CALET Collaboration, IKI-GW Follow-up Collaboration, H.E.S.S. Collaboration, LOFAR Collaboration, LWA: Long Wavelength Array, HAWC Collaboration, The Pierre Auger Collaboration, ALMA Collaboration, Euro VLBI Team, Pi of the Sky Collaboration, The Chandra Team at McGill University, DFN: Desert Fireball Network, ATLAS, High Time Resolution Universe Survey, RIMAS and RATIR, and SKA South Africa/MeerKAT (See the end matter for the full list of authors.)

THE ASTROPHYSICAL JOURNAL LETTERS, 850:L35 (18pp), 2017 December 1 https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/aa9aed

Hunting for superheavy dark matter with the highest-energy cosmic rays

Esteban Alcantara,¹ Luis A. Anchordoqui,^{1,2,3} and Jorge F. Soriano¹

¹Department of Physics and Astronomy, Lehman College, City University of New York, New York 10468, USA

 2 Department of Physics, Graduate Center, City University of New York, New York 10016, USA

³Department of Astrophysics, American Museum of Natural History, New York 10024, USA

 \bigcirc (Received 26 March 2019; published 24 May 2019)

In 15 years of data taking, the Pierre Auger Observatory has observed no events beyond $10^{11.3}$ GeV. This null result translates into an upper bound on the flux of ultrahigh-energy cosmic rays, implying $J(>10^{11.3} \text{ GeV}) < 3.6 \times 10^{-5} \text{ km}^{-2} \text{ sr}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$, at the 90% C.L. We interpret this bound as a constraint on extreme-energy photons originating in the decay super-heavy dark matter (SHDM) particles clustered in the Galactic halo. Armed with this constraint, we derive the strongest lower limit on the lifetime of hadronically decaying SHDM particles with masses in the range $10^{14} \lesssim M_X/{\rm GeV} \lesssim 10^{16}$. We also explore the capability of NASA's future Probe of Extreme Multi-Messenger Astrophysics mission to search for SHDM signals.

extends FIG. 3. Lower limit on the lifetime with the stereoscopic τ_X sensitivity (one photon event above $10^{11.5}$ C collection) of Probe of Extreme M $(POEMMA)$. The previous limit on γ shown for comparison. FIG. 3. Lower limit on the lifetime of SHDM particles together with the stereoscopic τ_X sensitivity (defined by the observation of one photon event above $10^{11.3}$ GeV in five years of data care process stems above the collection of the street our notation of Probe of Extreme Multi-Messenger Astrophysics (POEMMA). The previous limit on τ_X derived in Ref. [77] is also $\frac{1}{2}$ orders of $\frac{1}{2}$ orders of masses

limb mode to rapidly increase statistics. A geometrized approach Lorentz Invariance Violation effects on UHECR propagation:

Marco Danilo Claudio Torri*, Stefano Bertini, Marco Giammarchi, Lino Miramonti Marco Danilo Claudio Torri*, Stefano Bertini, Marco Giammarchi, Lino Miramonti

can be interested in a cosmic-termic-can be interpreted in a cosmic-calculation is due to the maximum and α ray shower reaches the maximum. Of particular interest here, for energies E 2, the average Xmax of photon and photon and photon and photon and photon and photon and p
E 2, the average Xmax of photon and photon proton showers and not be more than 100 gas showers and 100 gas showers are than 100 gas showers and 100 gas s $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F}}$ ente interaction than the sources rather than the background radiation. In the background radiation. In this scenario, in the background radiation. In this scenario we have a second radiation. In this scenario, in the case UHECR data can no sension data can no longer yield bounds of left the Lorentz Construction of Library Constitu thresholds for the interactions of the property of the photons of the photons of the photons of the photons of of the dispersion relations. Here we argue in turn that the study of UHECRs still represents an $\overline{}$ Lorentz Invariance Violation and Chamical Lorentz invariance violation Γ Finsler geometry experimental results in the property on the property of Ultra High Energy Contracted Microsoft Energy Contract Lorentz Invariance Violation and Chemical $\mathbf f$ phenomenon. The search for an effective theory, which can explain this physical effective this physical effect, is based on $\mathbf f$ on Lorentz Invariance Violation (LIV), which is introduced via Modified Dispersion Relations (MDRs). Composition of Ultra High Energy Cosmic

PHYSICAL REVIEW D 99, 103016 (2019)

∼ 100 g=cm²)

to identify the UHECR primary (Δ max \sim

Universe opacity to the propagation of this kind of highly ener- α and α modified. Since the work of Coleman and Since the Wales of Coleman and Since the Wales of Coleman and Since the Wales \sim 2903 $v/$ Tastro-ph HET 22 Mar 20 space–time is given by classes of diffeomorphisms and LI is pro*arXiv:1101.2903v2* [astro-ph.HE] 22 Mar 2011
Jörg R. Hörandel - GCOS worksh

Fundamental physics at extreme energies

Future prospects of testing Lorentz invariance with UHECRs

Denise Boncioli⇤1**, Armando di Matteo**2**, Francesco Salamida**3**, Roberto Aloisio**4*,*⁵ **, Pasquale Blasi**4*,*5**, Piera L. Ghia**6**, Aurelio F. Grillo**1**, Sergio Petrera**2*,*4**, Tanguy Pierog**⁷

Jörg R. Hörandel - GCOS workshop - May 2021 11 ord R. Horandel - GCOS works **b** Density States of the Einstein field equations, in particular, $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1$ *Luruper Chaussee 149, 22761 Hamburg, Germany*

3 Sep 2015 arXiv:1509.01046v1 [astro-ph.HE] 3 Sep 2015 arXiv:1509.01046v1 [astro-ph.HE]

cations of the shower development in the atmosphere due to the possible inhibition of the decay

Andrey Savelieva, Luca Maccione^b ndrov Covaliou^a Luca Macciona^b C Finalcy Suvence, Euca Maccione, S variance (\mathbf{C}^* as a global model studied. While \mathbf{C}^* as a global model studied. While \mathbf{C}^* as a global model studied. Andrey Saveliev^a, Luca Maccione^b, Guenter Sigl^a

Additional physics cases

- **• (Hadronic) interactions/particle physics at extreme energies**
- **• Geophysics, elves, atmospheric phenomena, …**
- **• What else? Think out of the box… E.g. LOFAR key science project Cosmic Rays —> detailed investigations of lightning**

Jörg R. Hörandel - GCOS workshop - May 2021 12 be a sensitively. The LOFAR core. LOFAR, Nature (2019)
4 Auger (2020) Auger (2020) Auger (2019) Auger (2020). Corresponding a material computer-readable data files may be found at \sim and the Court in the Court of the Court

the LOFAR core.

Figure 3. Projection of the energy spectrum anisotropy local pre-trial significance, for 14.03% equal exposure r \approx $\frac{1}{3}$ $6.17\sigma_{\text{local}}$ at $9^{\text{h}}16^{\text{m}}$, 45° and is 7° from the the Hotspot location of Abbasi et al. (2014a). The dashed curve at dec

 \sim 2020. The American Astronomical Society. All rights reserved. © 2020. The American Astronomical Society. All rights reserved. , M. Fukushima8,10, G. Furlich1

 σ

 α

ance)

 $-4\overline{0}$

⁸ Institute for Cosmic Ray Research, University of Tokyo, Kashiwa, Chiba, Japan ⁹ Graduate School of Science, Osaka City University, Osaka, Osaka, Japan ¹⁰ Kavli Institute for the Physics and Mathematics of the Universe (WPI), Todai Institutes for Advanced Study, University of Tokyo, Kashiwa, Chiba, Japan ¹¹ Information Engineering Graduate School of Science and Technology, Shinshu University, Nagano, Nagano, Japan ¹² Faculty of Engineering, Kanagawa University, Yokohama, Kanagawa, Japan ¹³ Interdisciplinary Graduate School of Medicine and Engineering, University of Yamanashi, Kofu, Yamanashi, Japan ¹⁴ Astrophysical Big Bang Laboratory, RIKEN, Wako, Saitama, Japan ¹⁵ Department of Physics, Sungkyunkwan University, Jang-an-gu, Suwon, Republic of Korea ¹⁶ Department of Physics, Tokyo City University, Setagaya-ku, Tokyo, Japan ¹⁷ Institute for Nuclear Research of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russia ¹⁸ Advanced Research Institute for Science and Engineering, Waseda University, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo, Japan ¹⁹ Department of Physics, Chiba University, Chiba, Chiba, Japan ²⁰ Department of Physics, School of Natural Sciences, Ulsan National Institute of Science and Technology, UNIST-gil, Ulsan, Republic of Korea ²¹ Department of Physics, Yonsei University, Seodaemun-gu, Seoul, Republic of Korea ²² Academic Assembly School of Science and Technology Institute of Engineering, Shinshu University, Nagano, Nagano, Japan ²³ Faculty of Science, Kochi University, Kochi, Kochi, Japan ²⁴ Department of Physical Sciences, Ritsumeikan University, Kusatsu, Shiga, Japan ²⁵ Sternberg Astronomical Institute, Moscow M.V. Lomonosov State University, Moscow, Russia ²⁶ Department of Physics and Astronomy, Rutgers University—The State University of New Jersey, Piscataway, New Jersey, USA ²⁷ Earthquake Research Institute, University of Tokyo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo, Japan ²⁸ Department of Engineering Science, Faculty of Engineering Osaka Electro-Communication University, Osaka, Osaka, Japan ²⁹ Graduate School of Information Sciences, Hiroshima City University, Hiroshima, Hiroshima, Japan ³⁰ Institute of Particle and Nuclear Studies, KEK, Tsukuba, Ibaraki, Japan Evidence for a Supergalactic Structure of bin expectations are greater than 2 (2). The context of context of context of θ . binds with the binds of the bins \mathbf{c} instead of negative for the high energy bins with small expectations. This bias is smaller than other possible tests, is smaller than other possible tests, is smaller p all local p and p is also present in the sky map, and is also present $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ $30 \frac{1}{2}$ \mathcal{L} is the expectation is estimated by the histogram is equal to the histogram in the second sec of events of the spherical cap (Normalized the spherical cap (Normalized to spherical cap (Normalized to spherical cap of the spherical cap (Normalized to spiel) that is not the spherical cap (Normalized to spiel) that is ℓ is the expected background number of \mathcal{X} $\frac{U_{1}}{190^{3}}$ A $T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ at each $T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is calculated using a set of 5 × 107 isotropic MC events. The set of 5 × 107 isotropic MC events. The set of 5 $\begin{CD} \begin{picture}(10,10) \put(0,0){\line(1,0){10}} \put(10,0){\line(1,0){10}} \put(10,0$ -30 Nevents on the data Non-data Non-da bin (Gillessen & Harney 2005). De state the state of $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ $s = 00$ Evidence for a Supergalactic Structure of Magnetic Deflectic observed, 8 expected, χ expected, χ R. U. Abbasi¹ , M. Abe² , T. Abu-Zayyad σ , M. Allen σ , \overline{a} \overline{a} $60 - 5$ $\sqrt{2}$, M. Chikawa⁷ , A. di Matteo $\frac{1}{2}$, $\$ Gb [deg $\sum_{i=1}^n$ T. Indiana \mathcal{L} is in Fig. K^3 and K^2 \mathbb{R} SGP $\sqrt{2\pi\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^2+\frac{1}{2}}$ Y. J. Kwon24, K. H. Lee18, B. Lubsandorzhiev20, J. P. Lundquist3,25,39 , K. Machida14, H. Matsumiya10, T. Matsuyama10, J. J. G. Matthews 2 , Anti-GC. Matthews 3 , Co $\frac{1}{2}$ \mathbb{R} , H. Ohnishi \mathbb{R} , \mathbb{R} , \mathbb{R} , \mathbb{R} , \mathbb{R} , H. Ohoka7 R. Onoginal R. Oshimati, A. Oshimati, A. Oshimati, A. Oshimati, A. Parkington3, J. Reministrational R. Parkington3, I. Reministrational R. Parkington3, I. Reministrational R. Parkington3, I. Reministrational R. Parkington3 $\begin{array}{c} \diagup \diagdown \bigwarrow \longrightarrow \ \diagdown \bigwedge$ $\sqrt{2\ln\ln(10/\sqrt{N\log N})}$ $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{F}$, H. Shimodaira $\mathcal{P}_\mathcal{F}$ \mathcal{L} , and \mathcal{L} shinally defined by , $\overline{}$, $\overline{}$, $\overline{}$, $\overline{}$ T. A. Stroman³ , T. Suzawa 2010, T. Suzawa 2010, T. Suzawa 2010, T. Suzawa 2011, T. Suzawa 2011, T. Suzawa 2011, T. Suzawa 20
Tanzania ya Tanzania ya Ta , Y. Takahashi 10^{10} , R. Takeishi⁷ -60 , G. B. Thomson³ EVIDENCE IOI A Supergalactic Structure of Magnetic Denectic
An Indication of Anisotropy in Arrival Directions of U

, N. Sakurai⁹

 $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$

 $\overline{}$

THE ASTROPHYSICAL JOURNAL, 862:91 (6pp), 2018 August 1 © 2018. The American Astronomical Society. All rights reserved.

indicated. Arrows show the deflections expected for a particular model of the galactic magnetic $t \cdot$ in particles with $\sqrt{7}$ $\sqrt{5}$ and $\sqrt{7}$ field (8) on particles with $E/Z = 5$ or 2 EeV. rates could otherwise be induced (see supple-

> detectors and the fluorescence telescopes, with a quasi-calorimetric determination of the energy coming from the fluorescence measurements. The fluorescence measurements. The fluorescence measurements. The f

> ilactic Gamma-Ray Sources* abo $\ddot{\mathbf{v}}$

fective exposure is increased by 18.5%, and the 18

31 -1 Negative correlations expected around the galactic plane. **Example 17.** Figure 17. Ten years of data result shown in galactic coordinates. (a) Hammer**rigure 17.** Ten years of data tesut shown in galactic coordinates. (a) Hammel –
Aitoff galactic projection of the correlation strength τ for all grid points. -1 Negative correlations expected for magnetic deflections are not apparent around the galactic plane.

larger than those observed could arise by chance

from fluctuations in an isotropic distribution.

right ascension (integrated in declination). Error

Observation of a large-scale anisotropy COORS OBSERVATION of a large-scale anisotropy in the arrival directions of cosmic rays above 8×10^{18} eV $(3-435)/a$ ac $9c8$ coordinates, which a Hammer projection, showing the cosmic-ray flux above 8 EeV smoothed with above 8 EeV smoothed with a smoo \bm{r} top-hand \bm{r} function. The galactic plane is matter is matter is shown in

NAYS
The Pierre Auger Collaboration*†

bars are 1s uncertainties. The solid line shows are 1s uncertainties. The solid line shows are 1s uncertainties

https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/aaa66d

CR anisotropy

 $\mathbb{E} \left[\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{1} & \$ sotropy in Arrival Directions o

Determining the fraction of cosmic-ray protons at ultrahigh energies with cosmogenic neutrinos

Arjen van Vliet, $1,2,*$ Rafael Alves Batista,³ and Jörg R. Hörandel^{1,4,5}

are normalized to the Frager spectrum [15] at 500 will, correspondence we also show the IceCube 6-yr. Cube and Auger $(x + y) = (1 \cdot 1 \cdot 1)$ flavor ratiol for pure-
a function of the significantly by Galactic and the significantly by $\frac{1}{2}$ $\begin{array}{c}\n \text{if } (1,1,1) \text{ and } \text{if } (1,2,1) \text{ and } \text{if } (1,2,1) \text{ and } \text{if } (1,2,2) \text{ and } \text{if } (1,$ $\frac{1000 \text{ mG}}{2000 \text{ mG}}$ flux increasing single the small states and the sense energy correspondence the determine the component reliably reliably. The component reliably $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$ reliably. The component reliably. $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$

1

 α zaction of protons f at ultrahigh energies as $\frac{1}{2}$ explution parameter *m* Three different s at a neutrino energy of $E_{\text{u}} = 1$ EeV are $\frac{d}{dx}$ roughly to the current sensitivity of Ice- $\frac{1}{2}$ and upper (red) and lower (green) \overrightarrow{AB} sensitivity of \overrightarrow{ARA} ARIANNA and $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{A})$. An interaction-model independent probe of the theorem probe of the theorem probe of the theorem FO. 2. Observable fraction of protons f at ultrahigh energies as function of the source evolution parameter m . Three different ngle-flavor flux levels at a neutrino energy of $E_{\nu} = 1$ EeV are
nown, corresponding roughly to the current sensitivity of Loe. shown, corresponding roughly to the current sensitivity of Ice-shown, corresponding roughly to the current sensitivity of Ice-Cube and Auger (yellow), and upper (red) and lower (green) Cube and Auger (yellow), and upper (red) and lower (green) ranges for the expected sensitivity of ARA, ARIANNA and GRAND200k. GRAND200k. FIG. 2. Observable fraction of protons f at ultrahigh energies as a function of the source evolution parameter m . Three different single-flavor flux levels at a neutrino energy of $E_{\nu} = 1$ EeV are

The Pierre Auger collaboration

Acceptance/exposure? What statistics will we need? E>1019.6 eV ~500 /yr (1000 km2 and 2π) ~5% light particles ~50% efficiency 40000 km2 —> 5000 light particles/decade (E>1019.6 eV)

see also K-H Kampert at High-Energy neutrino and cosmic-ray astrophysics, Weizmann Institute (2017)

How big and where do we want to build GCOS? *^L(^a* ⁼ ⁰*)* (3) $w \sim \frac{1}{2}$ distribution with 1 degree of $\frac{1}{2}$ freedom [35]. For each anticipated signal fraction *a* we repeat the simulation of cosmic ray sets 1000 times and determine the average and determine the average and determine the average \sim **Fig. 13.** Angle **13.** Angle 20. Angular distance $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{r}$ rigidity *R* = 60 EV in the three regions separated by galactic latitudes ± 19.5°, b) as Astroparticle Physics journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/astropartphys

Where: full sky coverage? —> equator, several sites, …

What is realistic in terms of area and number of **detectors? 10x existing arrays?** 10x number of units? \rightarrow 10 000 - 20 000 detectors **1.6 - 2 km spacing** \rightarrow 40 000 - 50 000 km² \mathbf{r} is the integration of \mathbf{r}

200 km

How big and where do we want to build GCOS?

Detector array with mass sensitivity and ~100% duty cycle

How would we build GCOS? —> measurement of e/m and muonic component

water Cherenkov detector

*** 3 dim —> 2π acceptance**

*** segmented/nested —> e/m & mu separation**

radio antenna * e/m component * absolute energy scale

Antoine Letessier-Selvon et al., Nucl. Instr. Meth. A 767 (2014) 41–49

see also I. Maris, JRH, R. Engel and others at UHECR2018, Paris (2018)

How would we build GCOS? \rightarrow measurement of e/m and muonic competi-

*** 3 dim —> 2π acceptance** Ince
unething complex!!!
—— WOUR ideas!!!

*** segmented/nested** —> e/m & m

Antoine Letessier-Selvon et al., Nucl. 20

water Cherenkov detector

see also I. Maris, JRH, R. Engel and others at UHECR2018, Paris (2018)

Detector array with mass sensitivity and ~100% duty cycle measurement of e/m and muonic comprisement?

JRH, EPJ Web of Conferences 216 (2019) 01003 nested water Cherenkov segmented water Cherenkov detector

detector

What resolution(s) do we require for GCOS?

Mass (In A) simple Heitler model of (hadronic) showers

• Average depth of shower maximum X_{max}

 N_μ

electromagnetic hadronic *Shower components*

Δ**lnA ~ 1** $\rightarrow \Delta$ X_{max} ~ 36 g/cm² $\rightarrow \Delta$ (N_e/N_µ) ~ 16%

$$
\frac{N_e}{N_\mu} \approx 35.1 \left(\frac{E_0}{A \text{ PeV}}\right)^{0.15} \text{ or } \lg \left(\frac{N_e}{N_\mu}\right)
$$

JRH, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 22 (2007) 1533 JRH, Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A 588 (2008) 181 J. Matthews, Astropart. Phys. 22 (2005) 387

 \mathbf{s} pacing: $d \approx 2 \text{ km}$ **GPS accuracy (ionospheric distortions):** $\sigma_{\Delta t} \approx 5-8 \text{ ns}$ **Direction —> arrival time**

angular resolution $\quad \sigma_\Theta < 1^\circ$

◆

 $= C - 0.065 \ln A$

• N_e-N_u ratio

in "best" experiments

4-5 groups in ln A

 $c \Delta t$

R resolution $\propto \ln$

 \bigvee

d

Right
$$
R = \frac{E}{Z} = \frac{E}{Z = f(\ln A)}
$$
 $Z \approx \frac{A}{2}$

Ire 2: Backtracking of charged particles at different rigidities from a regular grid of initial directions (dots) through different models of the coherent GMF. The resulting directions outside of the Galaxy are denoted by squares and the letters correspond to the models listed in Table 1. The sky maps are in Galactic coordinates and the particle rigidities indicated in corners of each pane

random fields that contribute to the polarized intensity of the polarized intensity, but not to the rotation me
The rotation measures. Further that the rotation measures. Further that the rotation measures. Further that th \mathbf{S} of using a more detailed three-dimensional source distribution of relativistic electrons.

Uncertainties in the Magnetic Field of the Milky Way Michael Unger

*** Lessons learned from existing observatories until 2030** ry, multi-messenger/IceCube **Example 13: Theory and Sepander**

ection of UHE particles ractions, fundamental physics

Workshop structure

*** Experimental techniques - R&D**

mental techniques rs vs space observatories hope to learn from GCOS? $$ $rac{1}{2}$

is needed

Each presentation followed by discussion time!

Relevance of photo-nuclear processes in UHECR interactions

18:00

zoom

zoom

discussion

16:00

Contract Contract

18:00

Denise Boncioli

 $18:00 - 18:20$

 $18:20 - 18:30$

Jörg R. Hörandel - GCOS workshop - May 2021 20

 \sim

16:00

 \sim

16:00 Discussion: Ground array vs space observatories 16:05 - 16:50 zoom Discussion: What can we hope to learn from GCOS? 17:00 $16:50 - 17:35$ zoom

GCOS - The Global Cosmic Ray Observatory Brainstorming workshop May 2021

We would like to make the slides available on indico for future reference.

To all presenters: There are two possibilities to upload your slides.

1. Make an indico account on our system and send me an email. I will assign the correct rights and you can upload the slides yourself.

-
- **2. Send me the slides as pdf and I will upload them.**

GCOS - The Global Cosmic Ray Observatory Brainstorming workshop May 2021

We are many colleagues here in the zoom room. Please obey the following rules:

2. The session chair will handle the Q&A after each talk in their session and

- **1. Please mute your microphone until the chair gives the floor to you.**
- **keep the time.**
- **the floor.**
- **4. Once the speaker replies to your question, you will be allowed one immediate follow-up to foster the discussion as appropriate. The**

3. Please raise your hand to ask a question and wait for the chair to give you

discussion can continue beyond that if there are no other hands raised.

GCOS - The Global Cosmic Ray Observatory Brainstorming workshop May 2021

Rafael Alves Batista, Antonella Castellina, Ralph Engel, Toshihiro Fujii, Jörg R. Hörandel, Charles Jui, Lu Lu, Ioana Maris, Shoichi Ogio, Takashi Sako, Fred Sarazin

